Health Evidence

Helping public health use best evidence in practice since 2005

February 2012

Reduced or modified dietary fat for preventing cardiovascular disease: Evidence and implications for public health

Review on which this evidence summary is based:

Hooper, L., Summerbell, C.D., Thompson, R., Sills, D., Roberts, F.G., & Moore, H. (2011). Reduced or modified dietary fat for preventing cardiovascular disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Issue* 7. Art. No.: CD002137. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002137.pub2.

Note: The Cochrane review that this evidence summary is based on has been updated. This evidence summary summarizes the above-cited version of this review, not the updated version. An updated evidence summary will be provided as soon as possible.

Review Focus

- P Adults with/without existing risk of cardiovascular disease
- Any intervention with an intention to reduce/modify dietary fat or cholesterol via dietary advice, supplementation, or a provided diet
- C Usual diet, placebo, or control diet
- Primary Outcomes: total and cardiovascular mortality, combined cardiovascular events
 Secondary Outcomes: myocardial infarction (fatal and non-fatal), stroke (fatal and non-fatal), diabetes diagnosis,
 cancer (deaths and diagnoses), quality of life
 Tertiary Outcomes: Changes in classic cardiovascular risk factors [e.g. blood pressure, body mass index (BMI), etc.]

Review Quality Rating: 10 (strong) Details on the methodological quality are available <u>here</u>.

Considerations for Public Health Practice	
Conclusions from Health Evidence	General Implications
This is a well-done review of randomized controlled trials of	Public health should promote reduction/modification of
mostly moderate methodological quality.	saturated fat intake to:
	 reduce cardiovascular events among men
The findings suggest that <u>any dietary fat intervention</u> reduces risk of cardiovascular events by 14%.	 interventions need to be implemented over 2+ years
·	Public health <i>should</i> promote modified fat intake to:
Based on sub-analyses of <u>cardiovascular events</u> : • studies of 2+ year duration were more effective	decrease total cholesterol
 dietary fat intervention reduced cardiovascular events in 	Public health <i>should</i> support reduced fat intake to :
men, but not in women	reduce weight and BMI
 studies in community settings reduced events, but not those in residential institutions 	decrease LDL and/or total cholesterol
 baseline total fat intake and baseline cardiovascular risk did not impact events 	However, public health should be aware and consider that reduced and/or modified fat diets are not effective in
	reducing overall or cardiovascular mortality, stroke, fatal or
Reduced fat intake led to a slight reduction in weight and BMI,	non-fatal MI, cancer diagnoses or deaths, diabetes
and a decrease in LDL and/or total cholesterol; while modified	diagnoses, or improve serum triglycerides and blood
fat intake decreased total cholesterol.	pressure.
Reduction and/or modification of dietary fat did not impact	
cardiovascular mortality, stroke incidence, fatal or non-fatal MI,	No/insufficient studies assessed: the impact of modified fat
cancer diagnoses or deaths, diabetes diagnoses, serum	diets on serum triglycerides or blood pressure; reduced vs.
triglycerides, and blood pressure.	modified fat diets on total or cardiovascular mortality, the
	specific effects of trans fats, or the ideal type of
	unsaturated fat.

Evidence and Implications

What's the evidence? Implications for practice and policy 1. Any Dietary Fat Intervention (21 RCTs) 1. Any Dietary Fat Intervention • Overall, participants were 14% less likely to have a • Public health decision makers should promote the cardiovascular event (**RR 0.86**, 95%CI 0.77 to 0.96) reduction and/or modification of dietary fat intake to reduce the risk of cardiovascular events: compared to usual diet. > 2 years duration, 22% less likely (RR 0.78, 95%Cl 0.67 • This intervention is recommended primarily to to 0.92); including dietary advice + supplementation, 22% improve outcomes among men, as the evidence less likely (RR 0.78, 95%CI 0.66 to 0.92); male subjects does not support this intervention for women 18% less likely (RR 0.82, 95%CI 0.74 to 0.90); and. community-based interventions of > 2 years duration community setting were 16% less likely (RR 0.84, 95%CI that use dietary advice + supplementation are 0.73 to 0.95) to have a cardiovascular event compared to recommended based on the results of this review. usual diet. No impact on any other outcomes. 2. Modified Fat Diet 2. Modified Fat Diet (8 RCTs) Decreased total cholesterol (MD -0.44mmol/L, 95%Cl - Public health decision makers should only promote 0.60 to -0.28, -0.08mmol/L, 95%CI -0.13 to -0.03) fat intake modification (i.e. substituting poly- and compared to usual diet mono-unsaturated fats for saturated fats) to decrease • No impact on any other outcomes. total cholesterol. 3. Reduced Fat Diet 3. Reduced Fat Diet Decreased weight (MD -0.83kg, 95%CI -1.37 to -0.30, 16 Public health decision makers should promote fat RCTs), BMI (MD -0.47kgm⁻², 95%Cl to -0.72 to -0.23, 10 intake reduction (< 30% daily energy from fat, replaced with carbohydrate) to achieve reductions in weight, BMI, LDL RCTs). LDL cholesterol (MD **-0.10mmol/L**, 95%CI -0.14 to -0.05, 14 RCTs), and total and/or total cholesterol. However, public health cholesterol (MD -0.10mmol/L, 95%CI -0.14 to -0.05, 15 decision makers should consider whether these small RCTs) compared to usual diet. reductions are clinically meaningful before implementing these interventions • No impact on any other outcomes. 4. Reduced AND Modified Fat Diet (5 RCTs) 4. Reduced AND Modified Fat Diet Decreased total cholesterol (MD -0.26mmol/L, 95%CI - Public health decision makers should only promote 0.47 to -0.04) compared to usual diet. combined reduced + modified fat diets to achieve a slight decrease in total cholesterol. No impact on any other outcomes.

Legend: P – Population; I – Intervention; C – Comparison group; O – Outcomes; CI – Confidence Interval; MD – Mean Difference; OR – Odds Ratio; RR – Relative Risk **For definitions see the healthevidence.org glossary http://www.healthevidence.org/qlossary.aspx

Why this issue is of interest to public health in Canada

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) affects roughly 1.3 million Canadians, and was the leading cause of death for Canadians in 2004. CVD contributed to an estimated \$22.2 billion to Canada's healthcare costs in 2000, including \$7.6 billion in direct costs and \$14.6 billion in indirect costs. Poor diet is a key risk factor for CVD. Increased fruit and vegetable intake and decreased fat intake are recommended for preventing CVD. The recommended daily fat intake for adults is between 20% and 35% of total caloric intake, but as of 2004 20% of Canadians exceeded this recommendation, and men generally consume more calories from fat than women. The majority of calories from fat consumed by adults are from the meat and alternatives category (31.6%), sandwich foods (15.9%) and baked goods (8.5%). These foods contain high amounts of saturated and trans fats, which are the most damaging. Their overconsumption leads to increased levels of LDL cholesterol, obesity, and hypertension, all of which are crucial risk factors for CVD.

- Public Health Agency of Canada (2009). Tracking heart disease & stroke in Canada. Retrieved from http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/2009/cvd-avc/pdf/cvd-avs-2009-eng.pdf
- 2. Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada (2010). *Prevention of risk factors*. Retrieved from http://www.heartandstroke.com/site/c.ikIQLcMWJtE/b.3483919/k.F2CA/Heart_disease__Prevention_of_Risk_Factors.htm

Other quality reviews on this topic are available on www.healthevidence.org

Suggested citation

McRae, L., Graham, K., Tirilis, D. & Dobbins, M. (2012). Reduced or modified dietary fat for preventing cardiovascular disease: Evidence and implications for public health. Hamilton, ON: McMaster University. Retrieved from http://www.healthevidence.org/documents/15493/Hooper2011 EvidenceSummary EN.pdf

This evidence summary was written to condense the work of the authors of the review referenced on page one. The intent of this summary is to provide an overview of the findings and implications of the full review. For more information on individual studies included in the review, please see the review itself. The opinion and ideas contained in this document are those of the evidence summary author(s) and healthevidence.org. They do not necessarily reflect or represent

the views of the author's employer or other contracting organizations. Links from this site to other sites are presented as a convenience to healthevidence.org internet users. Healthevidence.org does not endorse nor accept any responsibility for the content found at these sites.

The production of this evidence summary was funded with support from the Public Health Agency of Canada. The views expressed herein do not necessary represent the views of the Public Health Agency of Canada.