School-based marijuana and alcohol prevention programs targeting adolescents aged 10-15: Evidence and implications for public health

Review on which this evidence summary is based:

Review Focus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P</th>
<th>Adolescents aged 10-15 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td><strong>Knowledge-based</strong> programs (provision of anti-drug information delivered in a school setting) OR <strong>comprehensive</strong> type (provision of anti-drug information plus developing refusal, self-management, and social skills)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>No exposure or knowledge only (e.g. pamphlet)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| O | **Primary Outcomes:** Long-term reduction in marijuana/alcohol use (defined as at least once per month)  
**Secondary Outcomes:** Effectiveness of **knowledge-based** interventions vs. **comprehensive** type prevention programs |

Review Quality Rating:  9 (strong) Details on the methodological quality are available here.

Considerations for Public Health Practice

**Conclusions from Health Evidence**

This is a well-done systematic review based on six primary studies of good methodological quality

**Comprehensive program** content generated:
- a mean reduction of 12 days of alcohol use/month and 7 days of marijuana use/month, among those aged 10-15 years

**Knowledge-only** program generated:
- a mean reduction in alcohol use of 2 days/month among those aged 10-15 years (but not statistically significant)
- results from one study showed a statistically significant reduction in marijuana use of 25 days/month.

All studies relied on self-report data for measuring alcohol and marijuana use, and no studies took into account other confounding factors (e.g. age, gender, socioeconomic status).

**General Implications**

Based on this review, public health decision-makers **should** promote and/or support:
- **Comprehensive, school-based** alcohol and marijuana prevention interventions, of at least one year duration, that include skill development (e.g. refusal skills, self management skills, and social skills), for those aged 10-15 years.
- **School-based knowledge-only programs** but **only** if comprehensive programming is not possible.

Based on this review, **there is very limited evidence suggesting knowledge-only programs reduce marijuana use, however given the evidence is limited to one study at this time, these results must be interpreted cautiously.**

Given use of self-report measures, primary study findings may overestimate the true treatment effect. Therefore, upon program implementation, its impact should be evaluated and determine if effectiveness changes with age, gender, culture, and/or socioeconomic status.

Evidence and Implications

**What’s the evidence?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Comprehensive Programs  (5 studies)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Alcohol use (3 studies) – achieved a mean absolute reduction of 12 days/month  
**Mean Usage Ratio (MUR) 0.88, 95%CI 0.87-0.89**, compared to no intervention. |

**Implications for practice and policy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Comprehensive Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public health and school officials should promote and support long-term (i.e. &gt; one year), school-based, comprehensive alcohol and marijuana</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Why this issue is of interest to public health in Canada

Based on 2007-2008 data from provincial student alcohol and drug use surveys, along with national data collected by the Youth Smoking Survey, the Canadian Centre on Substance Use (CCSA) found that 2–5% of students in grades 7-12 report using cannabis daily or almost daily, and between 20–36% of Canadian students (grades 7-12) report they have used cannabis in their lifetime.1 In addition, 8% to 28% of grade 7 students in Canada report drinking alcohol in the past year. Notably, there are few gender differences in alcohol or cannabis use.1 Clearly the majority of younger adolescents (i.e. 12 years of age) do not consume alcohol and/or use marijuana, but it stands that the average age of first substance use is now about 14 or 15.2 As such, the CCSA’s Drug Prevention Strategy for Canada’s Youth emphasizes the need for interventions aimed at deterring or delaying the onset of substance use to begin at 10 years of age or younger.3 The negative impacts associated with marijuana use include impaired attention span, concentration, and memory, lung irritation and the ingestion of tar, a known cancer-causing agent.1 Underage drinking is a common behaviour in Canada, particularly among older teens (e.g. 17 or 18 years of age) and there is an urgent need to reduce hazardous drinking and harm in this age group.4 In fact, Canada’s recently released national guidelines on low-risk drinking5 suggest that given the negative impact of alcohol on the healthy physical and mental development of children and adolescents, the “uptake of drinking by youth should be delayed at least until the late teens and be consistent with local legal drinking age laws.” Promoting interventions that target the use of alcohol and marijuana aligns with the National Anti-drug Strategy’s Prevention Action Plan.2

Other quality reviews on this topic are available on www.healthevidence.org
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